UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/599,743  | 10/06/2006  | Maarten Willem Driessen | DRIE0102PUSA        | 3586             |
| 7590 08/04/2010 EXAMINER  Maarten Willem Driessen         |             |                         |                     |                  |
| Orteliusstraat 135-1<br>Amsterdam, 1057 AW<br>NETHERLANDS |             |                         | SMITH, CHAIM A      |                  |
|   |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                         | 1782                |                  |
|   |             |                         |                     |                  |
|   |             |                         | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                         | 08/04/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

/Steve Weinstein/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

| Application No. | Applicant(s)           |       |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------|
| 10/599,743      | DRIESSEN, MA<br>WILLEM | ARTEN |
| Examiner        | Art Unit               |       |
| CHAIM SMITH     | 1782                   |       |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| The amendment document filed on   | <u>18 May 2010</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the     |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1 | .4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following |
| item(s) is required.              |  |

| The amendment document filed on <u>18 May 2010</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.   |
|---|
| THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other  |
| <ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul> |
| 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):   |
| For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.   |
| TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:   |
| <ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment<br/>filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the<br/>entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>   |
| 2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.   |
| Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.   |
| Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.   |

/C. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 1782

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 15 contains the status identifier (New), However the status identifier is inconsistent with the text of the claim. Claim 15 contains text which has been struck through making it unclear at best what the applicant is intending to claim. Strike throughs are to be used to indicate changes, that is, the deletion of matter, relative to the immediate prior version of the claim. Since claim 15 is a new claim which has not been previously presented in the prosecution the claim can not be amended and the strike through should not appear in the claim. See MPEP section 714 II.C.(B). It is also noted that the font size used in the claims is very small and difficult to read. In order that the claims may be read clearly it is requested that the claims be reset in the prefered font size of 12. See MPEP section 608.01 I. Paper Requirements (b) (2) (ii) and further in the same section.